UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,180	04/16/2004	Kiyoshi Mizuki	LB-723-1504	8298
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 05/13/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	LEIVA, FRANK M		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/825,180	MIZUKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	FRANK M. LEIVA	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bether the content of the cont	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of finding reje	oted ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [□ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	be entered and an e	xplanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		bo omorou aria ari o	Apidination of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 4-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	try is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the applicant's argument directed towards; "Takahashi does not teach that all of the different characters are made to appear as having the same size regardless of their size", the applicant admits that Takahashi does adjust the characters to have the same size regardless of their true size and it is for the viewing by the player only, as is with the present invention. Applicant's remarks filed 10/28/2009 page 7 lines 3-5; "However, Applicant submits that even though this adjustment achieves approximately the same size for a particular game object, regardless of its size in the progress of the game". The examiner considers the argument not persuasive and deems the rejections proper. Also note that the claims do not recite the limitations "all of the different characters are made to appear as having the same size regardless of their size" as argued. The request does not place the application in condition for allowance.